

### REMARKS

Claims 1-15 and 17-31 remain in this case. Claims 1-15 and 24-31 have been allowed.


The Examiner requested that applicant review the specification for proper use of the trademarks Bluetooth® and HomeRF®. The specification has been reviewed and amended accordingly.

Claims 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for being indefinite. The claim has been amended to remove the offending phrase and substitute clearer language, and to correct the improper antecedent basis. Applicant notes that the claim language is supported by page 6, lines 9-12, where an embodiment of the device is described as having its own energy supply. Accordingly, the rejection is moot.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application. If there are any fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 34182.

Respectfully submitted,  
PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By:

  
Robert F. Bodi, Reg. No. 48540

1801 East 9<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1200  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108  
(216) 579-1700

Date: September 7, 2005